

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/614,076	ENGLISH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) J Wendy Davis.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

41, 43 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner told Applicant that claims 41 and 43 were improperly multiply dependent, and asked Applicant how they wished to handle this. Applicant requested that claim 49 be amended to be dependent upon claim 51 only, claim 43 amended to be dependent upon claim 41 only, and a new claim added that was a version of claim 43 but dependent upon claim 42 only. .